ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP.

2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

March 7, 2007

<u>VIA E-MAIL</u>

Robert L. Hails, Jr. Esq. Kenyon & Kenyon 1500 K. Street NW Washington, DC 20005-1257

Re:

Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc., et al.

Court File No.: C.A. No. 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Bob:

This letter is in formal response to your letter of January 22 and our telephone discussions prior to the February hearing before Judge Thynge.

I understand Sony's concerns regarding the potential for discovery into activities that now have become licensed and/or released. As you may have noted from our letter to the Court, as well as my statements during the hearing, we recognize that the extensive settlements entered into to date, including the one with Sony, should be considered in determining the scope of potential discovery directed to the Customer Defendants.

In the mean time, I ask that Sony consider two issues. First, as Judge Thynge recognized, Honeywell's efforts to identify infringing units has continued. To this end, Honeywell has recently identified a Sony cell phone, Model No. S710A, and a Sony digital still camera, Model No. S700I, which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in those products, so we are unable to at this time to determine whether the settlement agreement between Honeywell and Sony, or any other agreement, covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

S710A - 522BKQ7 and 532A43H

S700I - 522BKQ7 and 506A32H

We would appreciate Sony identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products so that we can determine their status.

A T L A N T A · B O S T O N · L O S A N G E L E S M I N N E A P O L I S N A P L E S · S A I N T P A U L · W A S H I N G T O N, D. C.

Robert L. Hails, Jr. Esq. March 7, 2007 Page 2

Second, we would welcome Sony's thoughts regarding the eventual admissibility of the license agreement between it and Honeywell. As mentioned in Honeywell's letter, it believes that discovery regarding the commercial success of modules using the '371 technology could be focused upon sales of products (if any) using unlicensed modules, assuming that the licenses are themselves admissible; *i.e.*, the licenses, to a certain extent, reflect the sales activities covered by their respective provisions.

However, the remaining Manufacturer Defendants have not taken a position on the admissibility of the licenses. It would be unfair to present the issue of obviousness to the jury without providing them the evidence of the substantial industry-wide activity that led to those licenses. Honeywell believes that the licenses are a reasonable, if indirect, indicia of those activities and thus proposed the solution set forth in the recent letter to Judge Thynge, which is premised on the admissibility of the licenses. If the Manufacturer Defendants disagree, however, it would clearly impact Honeywell's position because Honeywell should be entitled to present comprehensive evidence of commercial success, whether directly or indirectly.

If Sony has additional thoughts regarding potential solutions which alleviate the discovery burden upon it, while at the same time not prejudicing Honeywell's right to present a *complete* picture of what has been industry-wide activity, Honeywell would be willing to consider such alternatives.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdg MP3 20211757.1

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF Document 1165-2 Filed 08/25/2008 Page 5 of 24

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LASALLE PLAZA 800 LASALLE AVENUE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 29, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Stuart Lubitz, Esq. Hogan & Hartson, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6047

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Stuart:

I am writing to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules used in products sold by your client Kyocera Wireless Corp. which practice the '371 patent. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by your client, Kyocera, which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model	LCD Module Marking
Cell Phone	7135	CV90-1216-01

Stuart Lubitz, Esq. August 29, 2007 Page 2

We would appreciate Kyocera identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving this information in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF Document 1165-2 Filed 08/25/2008 Page 8 of 24

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 29, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Timothy J. Vezeau, Esq. Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 525 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60661

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Tim:

I am writing to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules which practice the '371 patent, to the extent it may impact Sanyo's status as a "Customer" Defendant. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products bearing the Sanyo name which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD modules contained in these products (or their date of manufacture), so we are unable at this time to determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products, including the possibility that these modules are manufactured by Sanyo and thus covered by the agreement between our clients executed in June 2005. We did note that the modules have the following part numbers on it:

Type	Model	LCD Module Marking
Cell	SCP200	No Discernable Markings
Phone		
Cell	VI2300	LM16TGFNZ02
Phone		5F0484038A

Timothy J. Vezeau, Esq. August 29, 2007 Page 2

Type	Model	LCD Module Marking
Cell	SCP-5300	H531MOA5008
Phone		
Cell	SCP-5300	N1220013176
Phone		

We would appreciate Sanyo identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving this information in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF Document 1165-2 Filed 08/25/2008 Page 11 of 24

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FaX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 29, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

William F. Taylor, Esq. McCarter & English, LLP Suite 1800 919 Market Street P.O. Box 111 Wilmington, DE 19899

Re:

Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Mr. Taylor:

I am writing to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules used in products sold by your clients, Audiovox Electronics Corporation and Audiovox Communications Corporation ("Audiovox"), which practice the '371 patent. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by Audiovox, which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model Number	LCD Module Markings
Cell Phone	SMT_5600	60H00025-000
Cell	CDM8910	QI139C541P

William F. Taylor, Esq. August 29, 2007 Page 2

Phone	Sicial	S05050601	SENSO Property
			COMPANY

We would appreciate Audiovox identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving this information in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

Tab 5

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF Document 1165-2 Filed 08/25/2008 Page 14 of 24

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LASALLE PLAZA 800 LASALLE AVENUE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 29, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Brian D. Roche, Esq. Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd. 10 South Wacker Drive 40th Floor Chicago, IL 60606-7507

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Mr. Roche:

I am writing to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules used in products sold by your client, Argus, which practice the '371 patent. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by Argus which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model	LCD Marking
Digital		
Still	DC1730	GML0385A
Camera		

Brian D. Roche, Esq. August 29, 2007 Page 2

We would appreciate Argus identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving your response in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

Tab 6

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF Document 1165-2 Filed 08/25/2008 Page 17 of 24

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LASALLE PLAZA 800 LASALLE AVENUE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 29, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Steven J. Rizzi, Esq. Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Steve:

I am writing to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules used in products sold by Matsushita (Panasonic) which practice the '371 patent. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by Matsushita which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model	LCD Module Markings
Cell	GD88	LNF0138
Phone		
Cell	X700	3MB4H0089632
Phone		

Steven J. Rizzi, Esq. August 29, 2007 Page 2

We would appreciate Matsushita identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving this information in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

Tab 7

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LASALLE PLAZA 800 LASALLE AVENUE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

August 31, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Andrew R. Kopsidas, Esq. Fish & Richardson, P.C. 1425 K Street NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Andrew:

I am writing for several reasons: first, to respond to your inquiries from yesterday day and earlier this summer requesting that your clients Nokia Inc. and Nokia Corporation ("Nokia") be provided with copies of those agreements entered into between Honeywell and certain LCD module manufacturers regarding the '371 patent; and second, to inform Nokia of certain developments in Honeywell's ongoing efforts to identify products which use the technology claimed in the '371 patent.

With regard to the first issue, I appreciate your and Nokia's patience as Honeywell has considered this request. As you may appreciate, Honeywell needed to evaluate the request not only in isolation, but in the context of other entities implicated, either directly or indirectly, in Honeywell's enforcement program, and the impact that granting that request could have in other contexts. For many reasons, Honeywell cannot agree at this time to provide Nokia with the copies of the agreements it seeks. Honeywell would be willing to consider a procedure where the parties agree upon a mutual exchange of information in order to ensure that the entirety of Nokia's use of potentially infringing modules is evaluated. Otherwise, the parties are left with the procedure adopted by Judge Jordan (see below). Please let me know whether Nokia is interested in exploring this concept.

In the meantime, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by Nokia which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time Andrew R. Kopsidas, Esq. August 31, 2007 Page 2

Case 1:04-cv-01338-JJF

determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model	LCD Module Marking
Cell Phone	1600	45 30720112

You may recall that although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers, such as Nokia, a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery). We believe that Nokia has a continuing obligation under the procedures it urged upon Judge Jordan to identify the module maker who supplies modules for these products. We look forward to that supplementation in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm

cc: Thomas C. Grimm, Esq. Stacie E. Oberts, Esq.

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATTHEW L. WOODS 612-349-8272

September 17, 2007

VIA E-MAIL

Francis DiGiovanni, Esq. Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP The Nemours Building, 8th Floor 1007 North Orange Street P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899-2207

Re: Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Apple Computer, Inc. et al.

Court File No.: 04-1338-KAJ (consolidated)

Our File No.: 019896.0229

Dear Mr. DiGiovanni:

I am writing in response to your inquiry to our co-counsel, Mr. Thomas Grimm, from last month and to update you on the status of Honeywell's ongoing effort to identify LCD modules used in products sold by your clients, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB and Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. ("Sony Ericsson"), which practice the '371 patent. As you will recall, although Judge Jordan granted the end product manufacturers a stay of the proceedings involving them, this class of defendants was ordered to provide limited discovery identifying "who the suppliers of LCDs are for the various devices that Honeywell must now specifically identify as accused products." No. 04-1338-KAJ (D. Del. May 18, 2005) (order granting limited stay, subject to Honeywell's limited discovery).

To that end, Honeywell has recently identified additional products manufactured by Sony Ericsson which it accuses of infringement absent an applicable license. We could not identify the manufacturer of the LCD module contained in these products, so we are unable to at this time determine whether any agreement regarding the '371 patent covers such products. We did note that the module has the following part numbers on it:

Item	Model	LCD Module Marking
Cell	T290	942213R10
Phone		

Francis DiGiovanni, Esq. September 17, 2007 Page 2

We would appreciate Sony Ericsson identifying the module maker who supplies modules for these products in the form of supplementation of your prior discovery responses. We look forward to receiving this information in the near future.

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

Matthew L. Woods

MLW/sdm